

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY M. HOWARD,
Plaintiff,

v.

CORDELL & CORDELL LP,
Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 25-CV-1002

ORDER

AND NOW, this 26th day of June, 2025, upon consideration of Plaintiff Mary M. Howard's *pro se* Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 8), and Motion to Appoint Counsel (ECF No. 9), and it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for the reasons in the Court's Memorandum.
2. The Motion to Appoint Counsel is **DENIED**.
3. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:



MIA R. PEREZ, J.